



UFMS PROPP N. 71-I/2018 OF MARCH 2nd, 2018 (*)
SIMPLIFIED PROCESS TO SELECT FOREIGN VISITING PROFESSORS
UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE MATO GROSSO DO SUL
FINAL LIST OF ACCEPTED AND NOT ACCEPTED APPLICATIONS

The Fundação Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso do Sul (UFMS), through the Pró-Reitoria de Pesquisa e Pós-Graduação (PROPP), based on the indications made by the UFMS Academic Units and the further analyses made by the technical-scientific committee, designed by IS PROPP number 15 (Feb 21, 2018), publishes the final list of accepted and not accepted applications for consideration under the Call UFMS PROPP 163/2017 - Simplified Process to Select Foreign Visiting Professors, after analyzing the appeals.

1. Result of the appeals

Candidate	UFMS Academic Unit	Result
Adrian Ashton Barnett	INBIO	Rejected
Ahmed Youssef Abdelnabi Mohamed Elsayed	CPCS	Rejected
Galo Belarmino Ruelas Torreblanca	INFI	Rejected
Gustavo Javier Chacón Rosales	INQUI	Rejected
João Carlos Ferreira Correia	FAALC	Rejected
Lázaro Antonio Bueno Pérez	FAED	Rejected
Manecas Francisco Baloi	FAMEZ	Rejected
Pablo Pérez Navarro	FACH	Granted
Sabir Khan	INQUI	Rejected
Sajjad Ullah	INQUI	Granted
Saravanan Shanmugam	FACFAN	Granted
Siriwan Dulnee	INQUI	Rejected

2. Accepted applications, whose research projects will be evaluated according to Item 5.2 of Call UFMS PROPP 163/2017:

Candidate	UFMS Academic Unit	Table 2 Score
Abid Ali	FAMED	155
Alberto López Teixido	INBIO	203
Alessio Gava	FACH	110
André Aptroot	INBIO	200
Andrea Lacombe	FACH	101
Ardigò Martino	INISA	152
Daniel Rodrigo Herrera Morante	FAODO	200
Detlef Hans-Gert Walde	CPAN	161
Edward Alan Myers	INBIO	220
Eduardo Salinas Chávez	CPTL	186
Eladio Sebastián Heredero	FAED	284
Eros Salinas Chávez	CPAQ	168
Fernanda Caminha Faustino Dias	FAMEZ	150
Francisco Enrique Fontúrbel Rada	INBIO	249
Hélder Filipe Rocha Prior	FAALC	149
Isabel Maria Estima Costa Lourenço	ESAN	260
Jamal Rafique Khan	INQUI	200
Jean Paul Kamdem	INBIO	142
Jesus Rafael Rodríguez Amado	FACFAN	162
João Miguel Guerreiro Dias Alves Lourenço	FAENG	124
Jorge Alberto Alarcón Leiva	FAED	103
Jorge Gonzáles Aguilera	CPCS	105



Luc Quoniam	FADIR	250
Luís Filipe das Neves Cunha	INBIO	100
Luis Raúl Pericchi	INMA	288
Maria Isabel Gomes de Pinho	FAED	114
Matteo Tonezzer	INFI	162
Michel Faife Cabrera	FAENG	125
Mustapha Rachidi	INMA	200
Nagabhushana Kuruduganahalli Ramachandraiah	INFI	229
Nagabhushana Kuruduganahalli Ramachandraiah	INQUI	187
Pablo Pérez Navarro	FACH	126
Petr Melnikov	FAMED	250
Thammadimalli Nanjundaiam Ravishankar	INFI	200
Sajjad Hussain	FAENG	182
Sajjad Ullah	INQUI	124
Svetoslav Nanev Slavov	FAMED	141
Zaida Ortega Diago	INBIO	180

3. Not accepted Applications:

Candidate	UFMS Academic Unit	Reason (s) of non accepting
Adrian Ashton Barnett	INBIO	- Item 5.1: Research areas of the Graduate Program chosen by the candidate are not aligned to the proposed work plan.
Adrian Montoya Ramos	CPCS	- Item 4.2 “e”: work plan with the research areas of interest and planned activities not presented;
Ahmed Youssef Abdelnabi Mohamed Elsayed	CPCS	- Item 3.1: The candidate did not demonstrated excellence and professional experience in the research areas of the research groups and graduate programs of the UFMS Academic Unit. - Items 5.4 and 5.6 (Portuguese version) or 5.3 (English version): The candidate productivity score (Table 2) is not sufficient to obtain the minimum final score equal to 6.0, necessary to be selected.
Alberto Oliveros Bastidas	FACFAN	- Item 3.1: The candidate did not demonstrated excellence and professional experience in the research areas of the research groups and graduate programs of the UFMS Academic Unit. - Items 5.4 and 5.6 (Portuguese version) or 5.3 (English version): The candidate productivity score (Table 2) is not sufficient to obtain the minimum final score equal to 6.0, necessary to be selected.
Aleksey E. Kuznetsov	INQUI	- Items 5.4 and 5.6 (Portuguese version) or 5.3 (English version): The candidate productivity score (Table 2) is not sufficient to obtain the minimum final score equal to 6.0, necessary to be selected.
Alexander Sánchez Ruiz	INBIO	- Item 3.1: The candidate did not demonstrated excellence and professional experience in the research areas of the research groups and graduate programs of the UFMS Academic Unit.
Ana Lilia Alzate-Marin	INBIO	- Item 3.1: The candidate did not demonstrated excellence and professional experience in the research areas of the research groups and graduate programs of the UFMS Academic Unit.
Ana Rita Giraldes Simões	INBIO	- Item 3.1: The candidate did not demonstrated excellence and professional experience in the research areas of the research groups and graduate programs of the UFMS Academic Unit.
Antonio Gigliotti Rothfuchs	FAMED	- Item 1.2: The candidate is not foreign.
Ashish Mishra	INMA	- Items 5.4 and 5.6 (Portuguese version) or 5.3 (English version): The candidate productivity score (Table 2) is not sufficient to obtain the minimum final score equal to 6.0, necessary to be selected.



Avvari Naga Prasad	INQUI	- Items 5.4 and 5.6 (Portuguese version) or 5.3 (English version): The candidate productivity score (Table 2) is not sufficient to obtain the minimum final score equal to 6.0, necessary to be selected.
Ayodeji Augustine Olabiyi	INBIO	- Item 3.1: The candidate did not demonstrated excellence and professional experience in the research areas of the research groups and graduate programs of the UFMS Academic Unit.
Carlos Alberto Coloma	FAMED	- Item 3.1: The candidate did not demonstrated excellence and professional experience in the research areas of the research groups and graduate programs of the UFMS Academic Unit. - Items 5.4 and 5.6 (Portuguese version) or 5.3 (English version): The candidate productivity score (Table 2) is not sufficient to obtain the minimum final score equal to 6.0, necessary to be selected.
Carlos Alberto Vásquez Delgado	CPTL	- Item 4.2 “b”: certificate of the doctoral degree not presented.
Carlos Alberto Vásquez Delgado	FAALC	- Item 4.2 “b”: certificate of the doctoral degree not presented.
Carlos Alberto Vásquez Delgado	FACH	- Item 4.2 “b”: certificate of the doctoral degree not presented.
Daniel José Martínez Goitía	ESAN	- Item 3.1: The candidate did not demonstrated excellence and professional experience in the research areas of the research groups and graduate programs of the UFMS Academic Unit.
Daniel Valério Martins	CPAN	- Item 3.1: The candidate did not demonstrated excellence and professional experience in the research areas of the research groups and graduate programs of the UFMS Academic Unit.
Daniel Valério Martins	FACH	- Item 5.1: Research areas of the Graduate Program chosen by the candidate are not aligned to the proposed work plan.
Deepak Kumar Srivastava	ESAN	- Item 3.1: The candidate did not demonstrated excellence and professional experience in the research areas of the research groups and graduate programs of the UFMS Academic Unit.
Emiliano Boccardi	FACH	- Item 4.2 “b”: certificate of the doctoral degree not presented.
Eugenio Hardy Rando	FACFAN	- Item 3.1: The candidate did not demonstrated excellence and professional experience in the research areas of the research groups and graduate programs of the UFMS Academic Unit; - Items 5.4 and 5.6 (Portuguese version) or 5.3 (English version): The candidate productivity score (Table 2) is not sufficient to obtain the minimum final score equal to 6.0, necessary to be selected.
Francisco Osvaldino Nascimento Monteiro	FADIR	- Item 3.1: The candidate did not demonstrated excellence and professional experience in the research areas of the research groups and graduate programs of the UFMS Academic Unit; - Item 4.3 “a”: No recommendation by the UFMS Academic Unit based on the relevance of the research project and work plan to the research areas of the graduate programs in which the applicant will work; - Items 5.4 and 5.6 (Portuguese version) or 5.3 (English version): The candidate productivity score (Table 2) is not sufficient to obtain the minimum final score equal to 6.0, necessary to be selected.
Francisco Javier Martínez Carreaux	FAENG	- Item 3.1: The candidate did not demonstrated excellence and professional experience in the research areas of the research groups and graduate programs of the UFMS Academic Unit; - Items 5.4 and 5.6 (Portuguese version) or 5.3 (English version): The candidate productivity score (Table 2) is not sufficient to obtain the minimum final score equal to 6.0, necessary to be selected.
Gabriel Ramón Padrón Palomares	INQUI	- Item 4.3 “a”: No recommendation by the UFMS Academic Unit based on the relevance of the research project and work plan to the research areas of the graduate programs in which the applicant will work.



Galo Belarmino Ruelas Torreblanca	INFI	- Item 3.1: The candidate did not demonstrated excellence and professional experience in the research areas of the research groups and graduate programs of the UFMS Academic Unit. - Items 5.4 and 5.6 (Portuguese version) or 5.3 (English version): The candidate productivity score (Table 2) is not sufficient to obtain the minimum final score equal to 6.0, necessary to be selected.
Guilherme Antonio Dávila	ESAN	- Item 3.1 The candidate did not demonstrated excellence and professional experience in the research areas of the research groups and graduate programs of the UFMS Academic Unit.
Gustavo Javier Chacón Rosales	INQUI	- Item 3.1: The candidate did not demonstrated excellence and professional experience in the research areas of the research groups and graduate programs of the UFMS Academic Unit. - Items 5.4 and 5.6 (Portuguese version) or 5.3 (English version): The candidate productivity score (Table 2) is not sufficient to obtain the minimum final score equal to 6.0, necessary to be selected.
Haneef Ur Rehman	INBIO	- Item 5.1: Research areas of the Graduate Program chosen by the candidate are not aligned to the proposed work plan.
Haroon Ur Rashid	INQUI	- Items 5.4 and 5.6 (Portuguese version) or 5.3 (English version): The candidate productivity score (Table 2) is not sufficient to obtain the minimum final score equal to 6.0, necessary to be selected.
Ilyes Dammak	FACFAN	- Item 3.1: The candidate did not demonstrated excellence and professional experience in the research areas of the research groups and graduate programs of the UFMS Academic Unit.
Iuliana Maria Cota	INQUI	- Items 5.4 and 5.6 (Portuguese version) or 5.3 (English version): The candidate productivity score (Table 2) is not sufficient to obtain the minimum final score equal to 6.0, necessary to be selected.
João Carlos Ferreira Correia	FAALC	- Item 4.2 “b”: certificate of the doctoral degree not presented.
João Miguel Guerreiro Dias Alves Lourenço	FACOM	- Item 3.1: The candidate did not demonstrated excellence and professional experience in the research areas of the research groups and graduate programs of the UFMS Academic Unit.
José Gil Vicente	FAED	- Items 5.4 and 5.6 (Portuguese version) or 5.3 (English version): The candidate productivity score (Table 2) is not sufficient to obtain the minimum final score equal to 6.0, necessary to be selected.
Katarzyna Anna Górká	INBIO	- Item 3.1: The candidate did not demonstrated excellence and professional experience in the research areas of the research groups and graduate programs of the UFMS Academic Unit. - Item 5.1: Research areas of the Graduate Program chosen by the candidate are not aligned to the proposed work plan. - Items 5.4 and 5.6 (Portuguese version) or 5.3 (English version) The candidate productivity score (Table 2) is not sufficient to obtain the minimum final score equal to 6.0, necessary to be selected.
Katerina Pavlou	FACH	- Item 4.2 “e”: work plan with the research areas of interest and planned activities not presented. - Items 5.4 and 5.6 (Portuguese version) or 5.3 (English version): The candidate productivity score (Table 2) is not sufficient to obtain the minimum final score equal to 6.0, necessary to be selected.
Lázaro Antonio Bueno Pérez	FAED	- Item 5.1: Research areas of the Graduate Program chosen by the candidate are not aligned to the proposed work plan.



Lina Patrícia Giraldo Lozano	CPAQ	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Item 3.1: The candidate did not demonstrated excellence and professional experience in the research areas of the research groups and graduate programs of the UFMS Academic Unit.- Item 5.1: Research areas of the Graduate Program chosen by the candidate are not aligned to the proposed work plan.- Items 5.4 and 5.6 (Portuguese version) or 5.3 (English version) The candidate productivity score (Table 2) is not sufficient to obtain the minimum final score equal to 6.0, necessary to be selected.
Louis Pergaud Sandjo	INQUI	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Item 8.4: The applicant must not have been employed or contracted by the Brazilian government in the previous 24 (twenty-four) months under the Law 8,745/1993.
Luc Quoniam	FAED	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Item 5.1: Research areas of the Graduate Program chosen by the candidate are not aligned to the proposed work plan.
Manecas Francisco Baloi	FAMEZ	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Item 3.1: The candidate did not demonstrated excellence and professional experience in the research areas of the research groups and graduate programs of the UFMS Academic Unit.
Manuel Guillermo Pino Batista	INFI	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Item 4.2 “d”: research project not presented;- Item 4.2 “e”: work plan with the research areas of interest and planned activities not presented.
Marcela Claudia Pagano	INBIO	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Item 3.1: The candidate did not demonstrated excellence and professional experience in the research areas of the research groups and graduate programs of the UFMS Academic Unit.
María Jeannine Bonilla Lagos	FACFAN	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Item 3.1: The candidate did not demonstrated excellence and professional experience in the research areas of the research groups and graduate programs of the UFMS Academic Unit.- Items 5.4 and 5.6 (Portuguese version) or 5.3 (English version): The candidate productivity score (Table 2) is not sufficient to obtain the minimum final score equal to 6.0, necessary to be selected.
Marta Pagán Martínez	ESAN	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Item 3.1: The candidate did not demonstrated excellence and professional experience in the research areas of the research groups and graduate programs of the UFMS Academic Unit.- Items 5.4 and 5.6 (Portuguese version) or 5.3 (English version): The candidate productivity score (Table 2) is not sufficient to obtain the minimum final score equal to 6.0, necessary to be selected.
Marta Pagán Martínez	FAALC	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Item 3.1: The candidate did not demonstrated excellence and professional experience in the research areas of the research groups and graduate programs of the UFMS Academic Unit.- Items 5.4 and 5.6 (Portuguese version) or 5.3 (English version): The candidate productivity score (Table 2) is not sufficient to obtain the minimum final score equal to 6.0, necessary to be selected.
Mojca Kuplen	FACH	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Items 5.4 and 5.6 (Portuguese version) or 5.3 (English version) The candidate productivity score (Table 2) is not sufficient to obtain the minimum final score equal to 6.0, necessary to be selected.
Nishad Kothari	FACOM	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Items 5.4 and 5.6 (Portuguese version) or 5.3 (English version) The candidate productivity score (Table 2) is not sufficient to obtain the minimum final score equal to 6.0, necessary to be selected.
Olivier Francois Vilpoux	ESAN	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Item 3.1: The candidate did not demonstrated excellence and professional experience in the research areas of the research groups and graduate programs of the UFMS Academic Unit.
Patrícia Filipa da Mota Paula	FAALC	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Item 3.1: The candidate did not demonstrated excellence and professional experience in the research areas of the research groups and graduate programs of the UFMS Academic Unit.- Items 5.4 and 5.6 (Portuguese version) or 5.3 (English version): The candidate productivity score (Table 2) is not sufficient to obtain the minimum final score equal to 6.0, necessary to be selected.



Pedro Rafael Camacaro	ESAN	- Item 3.1: The candidate did not demonstrated excellence and professional experience in the research areas of the research groups and graduate programs of the UFMS Academic Unit.
Petr Melnikov	INQUI	- Item 3.1: The candidate did not demonstrated excellence and professional experience in the research areas of the research groups and graduate programs of the UFMS Academic Unit. - Item 4.3.a: No recommendation by the UFMS Academic Unit based on the relevance of the research project and work plan to the research areas of the graduate programs in which the applicant will work.
Pingréwaoga Béma Abdoul Hadi Savadogo	FACH	- Item 4.2 “b”: certificate of the doctoral degree not presented.
Rajiv Gandhi Gopalsamy	FACFAN	- Item 3.1: The candidate did not demonstrated excellence and professional experience in the research areas of the research groups and graduate programs of the UFMS Academic Unit.
Raul Hernando Osorio Vargas	FAALC	- Item 3.1: The candidate did not demonstrated excellence and professional experience in the research areas of the research groups and graduate programs of the UFMS Academic Unit. - Items 5.4 and 5.6 (Portuguese version) or 5.3 (English version): The candidate productivity score (Table 2) is not sufficient to obtain the minimum final score equal to 6.0, necessary to be selected.
Ravi Prakash	FAMED	- Item 3.1: The candidate did not demonstrated excellence and professional experience in the research areas of the research groups and graduate programs of the UFMS Academic Unit. - Items 5.4 and 5.6 (Portuguese version) or 5.3 (English version): The candidate productivity score (Table 2) is not sufficient to obtain the minimum final score equal to 6.0, necessary to be selected.
Robert Alvin Bernedo Navarro	FACFAN	- Item 3.1: The candidate did not demonstrated excellence and professional experience in the research areas of the research groups and graduate programs of the UFMS Academic Unit. - Items 5.4 and 5.6 (Portuguese version) or 5.3 (English version): The candidate productivity score (Table 2) is not sufficient to obtain the minimum final score equal to 6.0, necessary to be selected.
Sabir Khan	INQUI	- 4.2 “c” motivation letter not presented; - 4.2 “e” work plan with the research areas of interest and planned activities not presented.
Santunu Ghosh	INFI	- Item 3.1: The candidate did not demonstrated excellence and professional experience in the research areas of the research groups and graduate programs of the UFMS Academic Unit. - Items 5.4 and 5.6 (Portuguese version) or 5.3 (English version): The candidate productivity score (Table 2) is not sufficient to obtain the minimum final score equal to 6.0, necessary to be selected.
Saravanan Shanmugam	FACFAN	3.2 Applicants will be required to teach at both undergraduate and graduate levels, advise students, and engage in research development, with the overarching goal of increasing the UFMS scientific profile.
Sardar Muhammad Hussain	INMA	- Item 3.1: The candidate did not demonstrated excellence and professional experience in the research areas of the research groups and graduate programs of the UFMS Academic Unit. - Items 5.4 and 5.6 (Portuguese version) or 5.3 (English version): The candidate productivity score (Table 2) is not sufficient to obtain the minimum final score equal to 6.0, necessary to be selected.
Sérgio Paulo Maravilhas Lopes	ESAN	- Item 3.1: The candidate did not demonstrated excellence and professional experience in the research areas of the research groups and graduate programs of the UFMS Academic Unit.



Shiva Prasad Kollur	INQUI	- Items 5.4 and 5.6 (Portuguese version) or 5.3 (English version): The candidate productivity score (Table 2) is not sufficient to obtain the minimum final score equal to 6.0, necessary to be selected.
Siriwan Dulnee	INQUI	- Items 5.4 and 5.6 (Portuguese version) or 5.3 (English version) The candidate productivity score (Table 2) is not sufficient to obtain the minimum final score equal to 6.0, necessary to be selected.
Solomon Kweku Sagoe Amoah	FACFAN	- Item 3.1: The candidate did not demonstrated excellence and professional experience in the research areas of the research groups and graduate programs of the UFMS Academic Unit. - Items 5.4 and 5.6 (Portuguese version) or 5.3 (English version): The candidate productivity score (Table 2) is not sufficient to obtain the minimum final score equal to 6.0, necessary to be selected.
Sonia Malik	FACFAN	- Item 8.4: The applicant must not have been employed or contracted by the Brazilian government in the previous 24 (twenty-four) months under the Law 8,745/1993.
Surender Kumar Sharma	INFI	- Item 8.4: The applicant must not have been employed or contracted by the Brazilian government in the previous 24 (twenty-four) months under the Law 8,745/1993.
Tomasz Schneider	FACH	- Item 5.1: Research areas of the Graduate Program chosen by the candidate are not aligned to the proposed work plan.
Vaitheeswaran Thiruvengadam	INBIO	- Items 5.4 and 5.6 (Portuguese version) or 5.3 (English version): The candidate productivity score (Table 2) is not sufficient to obtain the minimum final score equal to 6.0, necessary to be selected.
Vasco André Barbosa Brandão	FAENG	- Items 5.4 and 5.6 (Portuguese version) or 5.3 (English version): The candidate productivity score (Table 2) is not sufficient to obtain the minimum final score equal to 6.0, necessary to be selected.
Wilfredo Ricardo Mesa Ortega	INFI	- Item 4.2 “d”: research project not presented; - Item 4.2 “e”: work plan with the research areas of interest and planned activities not presented.
William Bladimir Castro Ortega	INQUI	- Item 3.1: The candidate did not demonstrated excellence and professional experience in the research areas of the research groups and graduate programs of the UFMS Academic Unit. - Item 4.3.a: No recommendation by the UFMS Academic Unit based on the relevance of the research project and work plan to the research areas of the graduate programs in which the applicant will work.
Yonny Martínez López	FAENG	- Item 3.1: The candidate did not demonstrated excellence and professional experience in the research areas of the research groups and graduate programs of the UFMS Academic Unit. - Items 5.4 and 5.6 (Portuguese version) or 5.3 (English version): The candidate productivity score (Table 2) is not sufficient to obtain the minimum final score equal to 6.0, necessary to be selected.

4. The committee will send by e-mail to each candidate the result of the corresponding appeal.

NALVO FRANCO DE ALMEIDA JUNIOR
Pró-Reitor de Pesquisa e Pós-Graduação – UFMS

(*) Republished due to errors in the original, published on BSE 6747, 03-12-2018, page 257.